

Spectrophotometric Determination of Uranium without solvent extraction in Geomaterials using Arsenazo-III in presence of EDTA

Satya Prakash*, Vijay Kumar, Smeer Durani, S. Thangavel

Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research, Department of Atomic Energy, West Block-VII, R.K.Puram, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

A sensitive and selective spectrophotometric method for the determination of uranium ranging from 100µg to 4000µg U₃O₈/g of geomaterials (more than 4000µg U₃O₈/g of geomaterials is also possible by sub-dilutions), using disodium salt of ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) and colour development with 2,7-bis(2,2-arseno phenyl azo) 1,8-dihydroxy naphthalene-3,6-disulphonic acid (Arsenazo-III) in phosphoric acid medium at λ_{max} 642 nm was developed. Method is basically direct determination of uranium in coloured solution and it does not require solvent extraction for the removal of interferences, however, stoichiometry of the reagents solution is to be followed as per the optimised conditions.

Keywords : Uranium, Arsenazo-III, and Stability of Complex

I. INTRODUCTION

Natural uranium as main source of energy occurs in three isotopic forms as U₂₃₄-0.0056%, U₂₃₅-0.718% and U₂₃₈-99.276%. Uranium occurrence in earth crust is about 0.1-2ppm and in sea water is about 3ppb. The geological distribution of uranium, in India is about 0.046% - 0.265% U₃O₈ [1] and in Canada -high grade of uranium, 18% U₃O₈ in Cigar Lake and 21% U₃O₈ in Mc Arthur River deposit in Athabasca basin.

The largest deposit of the world is a polymetallic deposit in Australia, the Olympic Dam deposit (Cu, U, Au, Ag and REEs) contains low grade uranium (0.023%) along with moderate grades of silver and gold [2].

The reduced form of uranium (IV) occurs in primary uranium minerals, and the oxidised form of uranium (VI) in secondary uranium minerals which are variably soluble in oxygenated water as $UO_2(OH)^+$, $UO_2(OH)_{2^0}$, $(UO_2)_2(OH)_{2^2+}$, $(UO_2)_2(OH)_2F^+$, UO_2Cl^+ ,

Analytical chemistry as a tool plays an important role in determining uranium in geomaterials. Uranium determination requires high selectivity due to its strong association with other elements [4,5].

Several methods for the spectrophotometric determination of uranium using sodium fluoride,

Arsenazo-III, 4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol, dibenzoyl methane etc have been reported [6], but most of them require solvent extraction step which entail various disadvantages in terms of reproducibility, simplicity, rapidity and sensitivity. The usefulness of the reactivity of Arsenazo-III lies in the fact that metals whose complex formation depends on high pH, do not interfere with the determination of other elements such as Th, Zr, Pu and Np which give complexes in strongly acidic media [7].

Arsenazo-III is a commercial product, equally soluble in both water and dilute mineral acids [7,8,9,10]. Arsenazo-III was found to be more stable in acids like H₃PO₄, HClO₄ and HCl than HNO₃ which can cause oxidation and can easily decompose azo-dyes at room temperature [11,12].

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

UV/VIS 920 (GBC) with 10mm matched quartz cells equipped with a recording device was employed for the measurement of optical density/absorbance.

Reagents

i. Uranium Standard Solution- $5\mu g~U_3O_8$ / ml without maintaining acidity was prepared from

 $100~\mu g~U_3O_8$ / ml stock solution { prepared from $UO_2(NO_3)_2.\ 6H_2O$ } containing 5% HNO_3 acid using distilled water.

ii. 1M. H₃PO₄ acid- 63.5ml of 88% ortho phosphoric acid (GR-pro analysis, Merk Ltd) was taken and made it up to 1litre with distilled water.

iii. 0.5M. HONH₂.HCl- 8.686g of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (GR, Merk Ltd) was dissolved in 250ml of distilled water.

iv. 0.05M. Na₂EDTA- 9.306g of disodium salt of ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid(BDH, GPR, Merk Ltd) was dissolved in 500ml of distilled water.

v. 10% TEA- 50ml of triethanolamine (Emparta-R, Merk Ltd) was dissolved in 500ml of distilled water. vi. Complexing Reagent, 0.025%Arsenazo-III- 0.05g of 2,7-bis(2,2-arsenophenylazo)1,8-dihydroxy naphthalene-3,6-disulphonic acid (GR, Merk Ltd) was dissolved in 200ml of distilled water and it was maintained with 0.05M. H₃PO₄ acid (0.64ml of 88% ortho phosphoric acid in 200ml).

Procedure

0.5g of geomaterial (-200mesh) was weighed in teflon beaker and was treated three times on hot plate with 10ml of 1:1 mixture of HNO₃ and HF acid, followed by two times treatment with 5ml of HNO₃ for complete dissolution [12]. Finally, sample was dissolved in 4% HCl acid and made up to 50ml.

Uranium standards in the range from 2.5µg to 40 µg U₃O₈ and 1ml aliquot for each sample were taken in 25ml flasks. Added 1ml of 1M. H₃PO₄ acid (\sim 40mM.dm⁻³), 0.5ml of 0.5M. HONH₂.HCl (\sim 10mM.dm⁻³), 2.5ml of 0.05M. Na₂EDTA (\sim 5mM.dm⁻³), 5ml of 10%TEA (\sim 2%) and 1ml of 0.025% Arsenazo-III (\sim 0.001%). The solution was made up to 25ml with deionised water. pH of the final solution was found to be in between 7.5 and 8.0. The solution was kept for 10-15 minutes for complete colour development and absorbance was measured at 642nm.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Purple colour developed for uranium-Arsenazo-III complex is stable for more than two weeks at these conditions. Optimization of U_3O_8 standards 0.1-1.6ppm at wavelength range 400-700nm is shown in **Fig-1**. Maximum absorbance was observed at 642nm.

The absorbance of standards and samples was measured against blank/ zero absorbance and calibration graph was plotted upto 1.6ppm of U_3O_8 passing through zero absorbance. The linearity was observed upto 1.6 ppm of U_3O_8 as shown in **Fig-2**. The accuracy and precision determined for this range of standards was found excellent and the results showed that the percentage recovery of uranium was quantitative with good precision, 96.0-98.6% (Table-3).

It was also tested that similar results were obtained by

using perchloric acid or hydrochloric acid in place of ortho phosphoric acid. However, absorbance measurement with phosphoric acid was quite stable.



1.B. Fixation Of Amax **Figure-2:** Calibration Graph For **U308**

Absorbance





Sl. No.	U3O8(ppm)	Absorbance	RSD (%)
1.	Blank	0.0000	0.00
2.	0.1	0.0071	1.52
3.	0.2	0.0141	1.53
4.	0.4	0.0284	1.52
5.	0.6	0.0426	1.52
6.	0.8	0.0563	1.53
7.	1.0	0.0701	1.54
8.	1.2	0.0844	1.53
9.	1.4	0.0987	1.53
10.	1.6	0.1121	1.54

Determination of uranium(VI) without using hydroxylamine hydrochloride (HONH₂.HCl) is also

possible, however, absorbance for U(VI)-Arsenazo-III complex is lowered 10-15% in comparison to using HONH₂.HCl. It reduces U(VI) \rightarrow U(IV) which makes more sensitive U(IV)-Arsenazo-III complex. Optimization of 0.5M HONH₂.HCl is as follows



Interference study for various cations such as Ca, Mg, Al, Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, Mo, Th, Zr and rare earth elements was carried out and its effect on uranium determination was seen using disodium salt of ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid and triethanolamine. It was observed that optimum quantity of each elements added along with 25µg U₃O₈ standard in 25ml flask has shown negligible absorbance against U₃O₈ standard as shown in **Table-1**. Thus, uranium determination in geomaterials is possible without any interference.

TABLE-1. EFFECT OF VARIOUS INTERFERING ELEMENTS ON THE DETERMINATION OF

URANIUM

Elements	Conctn.	Absor-	(Conctn. of	Absor-
	of each	bance	U3O8 Std. +	bance
	Element		Conctn.	
	/25ml		of each	
			element)	
			/25ml	
Blank		0.0000		0.0000
U3O8 Std.	25µg	0.0695to	25µg +	0.0695-
		0.0720		0.0720
Ca, Mg	1.0mg	-0.0004	25µg +1.0mg	0.0703
Al, Fe	2.0mg	-0.0001	25µg +2.0mg	0.0713
Mn, Co, Ni	0.8mg	0.0004	25µg+ 0.8mg	0.0707
Zn, Pb	-			
Cu	0.2mg	0.0010	25µg +0.2mg	0.0711
Mo, V	0.5mg	0.0017	25µg +0.5mg	0.0716
Ti	0.5mg	-0.001	25µg +0.5mg	0.0695

37

Satya Prakash et al. Int J S Res Chemi. November-December 2018; 2 (5) : 35-38

Cr	0.1mg	0.003	25µg +0.1mg	0.0719
Th	0.5mg	-0.0003	25µg +0.5mg	0.0698
Zr	0.5mg	-0.0002	25µg +0.5mg	0.0696
La, Ce, Pr,	0.2mg	-0.0001	25µg +0.2mg	0.0700
Nd,Sm,Eu,				
Gd,Tb, Dy,				
Ho, Er,Tm,				
Yb, Lu, Y,				
Sc				

Applications

Proposed spectrophotometric method was applied in geomaterials (rock/soil samples) containing $100\mu g$ -4000 μg U₃O₈/g of sample. However, more than 4000 μg U₃O₈/g of a sample is also possible using sub-dilutions without maintaining acidity in final test solution. Results were also compared with inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer and pellet fluorimeter techniques.

Validation of the method was also carried out using CRM (SY-2). The results were found reproducible and good agreement with those obtained values by standard technique at the 95% confidence level as shown in-**Table-2**. Accuracy/relative error and precision achieved for samples and CRM is shown in **Table-3**.

TABLE-2. COMPARISON OF THREE DIFFERENT ANALYTICAL METHODS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF URANIUM

Spect	rophotometr	ic Method	ICP-	OES	Pellet Fluorimeter	
using Arsenazo-III			Method Method		Method	
S1	Sample	$U_{3}O_{8}(\%)$	U_3O_8	₈ (%)	$U_{3}O_{8}(\%)$	
.No.	Code					
1.	Z-3595	0.221	0.2	220	0.220	
2.	Z-3597	0.096	0.0)99	0.098	
3.	Z-3598	0.185	0.1	.87	0.185	
4.	Z-3599	0.246	0.248		0.248	
5.	Z-3600	0.071	0.072		0.070	
6.	Z-3601	0.020	0.020		0.020	
7.	B-904	0.014	0.0)15	0.014	
8.	B-905	0.017	0.017		0.016	
9.	B-906	0.046	0.049		0.045	
10.	B-907	0.028	0.029		0.027	
CRM	U ₃ O ₈ (ppm) by Arsenazo-III			U ₃ O ₈ (ppm) Certified Value		
SY-2	329			335±1.8%		

TABLE-3 ACCURACY AND PRECISION ACHIEVED FOR SAMPLES AND CRM (CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL)

SAMILLES AND CAM (CLATHILD ALI LALIACE MATLAIAL)								
S1.	Sample	Assigned	Spectro	Std.	CV	Accu		
No	Code	Value	-photo	Dev.	(%)	-racy		
		(Fluori	-metry			±(%)		
		-metry)	Mean					
		U ₃ O ₈ ppm)	(n=10)					
1.	Z-3595	2201	2210	2.5	0.11	0.41		
2.	Z-3597	978	962	2.2	0.23	1.64		
3.	Z-3598	1854	1845	2.8	0.15	0.49		

4.	Z-3599	2476	2459	3.2	0.13	0.69
5.	Z-3600	702	708	2.6	0.37	0.86
6.	Z-3601	201	204	1.5	0.73	1.49
7.	B-904	144	141	1.5	1.06	2.08
8.	B-905	164	167	1.3	0.80	1.83
9.	B-906	450	456	2.4	0.52	1.33
10.	B-907	273	277	1.2	0.42	1.47
11.	SY-2	335	329	2.2	0.66	1.79

IV. REFERENCES

- NP.H.Padmanabhan; A.K.Suri; and S.K.Ghosh; 2010. Proceedings of the XI International Seminar on Mineral Processing Technology © NML Jamshedpur (India), pp.628-641.
- [2]. Anjan Chaki; R.K.Purohit; R.Mamallan; Science Direct, Energy Procedia 7(2011)153-157.
- [3]. Langmuir D (1978) Geochim Cosmochim Acta 42(6), 547-569.
- [4]. Vinogradio, A.P; 1963. Basic Characterstics of the Geochemistry of Uranium. Academy of Science. USSR.
- [5]. Aleksandrova, E.A; Charykov; 1989. Thorium Mixed Legend Complexes of Morin as Analytical Form for Extraction-Photometric Determination of Thorium Microamounts. Radiokhimiya, 31, 96-101.
- [6]. Gupta, K.K; Kulkarni, P.G; George Thomas; Varadarajan, N; Nair, M.K.T; and Singh, R.K; (1993) Talanta 40 (4), 507-510.
- [7]. Strelow, F.W.E; Kokot, M.L; Vander Walt, T.N; Bhaga, B; 1976. Rationalized Determination of Uranium in Rocks for Geochemical Prospecting using Separation by Ion Exchange Chromatography and Spectrophotometry with Arsenazo-III. J.S.Afr.Chem.I, 29, 97-104.
- [8]. Savvin, S.B; 1961. Analytical use of arsenazo-III. Determination of Thorium, Zirconium, Uranium and Rare Earth Elements. Talanta, 8, 673.
- [9]. Burger, K; 1973. Organic Reagents in Metal Analysis Hungarian Academy of Science, Budapest.
- [10]. Khan, M.H; Ali, A; Khan, N.N; 2001. Spectrophotometric Determination of Thorium with Disodium Salt of Arsenazo-III in Perchloric Acid. J Radioanal. Nucl.Chem; 353-357.
- [11]. Khan, M.H; Hasany, S.M; Khan, M.A; 1994.J.Radioanal. Nucl.Chem;Spectrophotometric Determination of Microamounts of Thorium with Thorin as a Chromogenic Reagent.188, 341-353.
- [12]. Korkisch, J; Steffan, I; Arrhenius, G; Fisk, M; Frazer, J; 1977. Chemical Analysis of Manganese Nodules: Part II.Determination of Uranium and Thorium after Anion-Exchange Separation. Anal. Chim. Acta, 90, 151.